

Prepared for: Northstar Studio

Date: October 17, 2026

Confidential — For internal use only

StrategyMap AI

Automation Opportunity Report

Prepared for: Northstar Studio

Date: May 2026

1. Executive Snapshot

Northstar Studio is a small creative operations firm with a clear bottleneck: client onboarding work is still being handled manually across email, intake forms, project folders, and invoicing. The business does not need a complex AI transformation. It needs a cleaner intake-to-delivery system that removes repeated admin work without disrupting client trust.

The highest-return opportunities sit in three places: onboarding, follow-up, and internal reporting. These are repeatable enough to automate, visible enough to improve the client experience, and low-risk enough to implement without rebuilding the business.

Automation readiness is medium. The business already uses common tools, but the workflow depends too much on manual handoffs. The right path is not "automate everything." The right path is to remove the few recurring points where time leaks every week.

2. Top 7 Ranked Automation Opportunities

1. Client Intake Routing

- **Problem it solves:** New client details arrive through inconsistent email threads and forms.

- **Why it fits this business:** A structured intake form connected to project setup would reduce missing information and make handoff cleaner.
- **Estimated Impact:** 5/5
- **Implementation Ease:** 4/5
- **Complexity:** Low
- **Risk Level:** Low
- **Data touched:** New-client contact, project-scope, and intake-form fields; confirm the exact fields before build.
- **Safe-handling guidance:** Limit setup access to the operations team and confirm the form, storage, and retention settings before connecting systems.
- **Human review step:** The operations owner reviews each proposed decision for incomplete or unusual intake submissions before creating a project.
- **Estimated time or cost effect:** Estimate: not yet quantified for this workflow; establish the current intake-routing baseline before a pilot, then compare it after the controlled test.

2. Project Folder and Template Creation

- **Problem it solves:** Every new project requires the same folder setup, document creation, and naming conventions.
- **Why it fits this business:** This is repeatable operational work and does not require judgment once the project type is known.
- **Estimated Impact:** 4/5
- **Implementation Ease:** 5/5
- **Complexity:** Low
- **Risk Level:** Low
- **Data touched:** Approved project names, templates, and folder metadata; confirm the exact template set before build.
- **Safe-handling guidance:** Restrict automation access to the approved project workspace and avoid copying client files outside it.
- **Human review step:** The operations owner confirms the project type and reviews exceptions before folders or templates are created.
- **Estimated time or cost effect:** Estimate: not yet quantified for this workflow; establish the current setup baseline before a pilot, then compare it after the controlled test.

3. Invoice Follow-Up Automation

- **Problem it solves:** Payment reminders depend on someone remembering to check invoice status.
-

Why it fits this business: A simple reminder sequence can reduce delayed payments without changing the client relationship.

- **Estimated Impact:** 4/5
- **Implementation Ease:** 4/5
- **Complexity:** Low
- **Risk Level:** Low
- **Data touched:** Invoice status, approved client contact details, and reminder-draft metadata; confirm the exact fields before build.
- **Safe-handling guidance:** Keep billing access least-privilege and do not expose invoice details outside the approved accounting workflow.
- **Human review step:** The operations owner reviews overdue or exception cases and approves every external reminder before it is sent.
- **Estimated time or cost effect:** Estimate: not yet quantified for this workflow; establish the current follow-up baseline before a pilot, then compare it after the controlled test.

4. Weekly Client Status Summary

- **Problem it solves:** Status updates are recreated manually from project notes.
- **Why it fits this business:** A lightweight summary workflow can turn task updates into a client-ready draft.
- **Estimated Impact:** 4/5
- **Implementation Ease:** 3/5
- **Complexity:** Medium
- **Risk Level:** Medium
- **Data touched:** Internal project notes, milestones, and approved client-update details; confirm the exact fields before build.
- **Safe-handling guidance:** Restrict status-draft access to the approved project workspace and keep draft status information out of unapproved tools.
- **Human review step:** The account owner reviews every generated status draft for accuracy and tone before it reaches a client.
- **Estimated time or cost effect:** Estimate: not yet quantified for this workflow; establish the current status-update baseline before a pilot, then compare it after the controlled test.

5. Lead Qualification Triage

- **Problem it solves:** Every inquiry gets reviewed manually, even when fit is unclear.
- **Why it fits this business:** A scoring form can separate strong-fit leads from low-fit inquiries before a call is booked.

- **Estimated Impact:** 3/5
- **Implementation Ease:** 4/5
- **Complexity:** Low
- **Risk Level:** Low
- **Data touched:** Lead-form responses, fit criteria, and booking details; confirm the exact fields before build.
- **Safe-handling guidance:** Restrict access to the approved sales workspace and do not share lead details beyond the evaluation workflow.
- **Human review step:** The sales owner reviews edge cases and approves any decision before lead routing or client communication changes.
- **Estimated time or cost effect:** Estimate: not yet quantified for this workflow; establish the current triage baseline before a pilot, then compare it after the controlled test.

6. Internal SOP Assistant

- **Problem it solves:** Process knowledge lives in scattered documents and memory.
- **Why it fits this business:** A searchable internal knowledge base would help the team follow the same process without asking the same questions repeatedly.
- **Estimated Impact:** 3/5
- **Implementation Ease:** 3/5
- **Complexity:** Medium
- **Risk Level:** Medium
- **Data touched:** Approved internal SOP content and role-specific process notes; confirm the source set before build.
- **Safe-handling guidance:** Limit access to approved internal documentation and exclude confidential client files unless separately reviewed.
- **Human review step:** The process owner reviews generated answers and updates the SOP source before the guidance is relied on.
- **Estimated time or cost effect:** Estimate: not yet quantified for this workflow; establish the current SOP lookup baseline before a pilot, then compare it after the controlled test.

7. Monthly Performance Snapshot

- **Problem it solves:** Basic business metrics are reviewed inconsistently.
- **Why it fits this business:** A recurring dashboard can show project volume, outstanding invoices, lead sources, and delivery timelines.
- **Estimated Impact:** 3/5
- **Implementation Ease:** 3/5

- **Complexity:** Medium
 - **Risk Level:** Low
 - **Data touched:** Approved project, invoice, lead-source, and delivery-timeline metrics; confirm the exact metric definitions before build.
 - **Safe-handling guidance:** Keep dashboard access role-based and validate metric sources before sharing a snapshot.
 - **Human review step:** The operations owner reviews the draft metrics and anomalies before a performance snapshot is distributed.
 - **Estimated time or cost effect:** Estimate: not yet quantified for this workflow; establish the current reporting baseline before a pilot, then compare it after the controlled test.
-

2A. Data Security, Human Review, and Time/Cost Estimates

Each recommendation includes its own data touchpoints, safe-handling guidance, human review step, and an estimate that calls for a workflow-specific baseline before a pilot. These are discovery controls, not security or savings promises.

3. Quick Wins

Start with client intake routing and project folder creation. They are the lowest-risk improvements because they automate structure, not judgment. The business owner still reviews the work, but the system removes the repeated setup steps that happen every time a new client comes in.

Invoice follow-up is the second quick win. It protects cash flow without requiring a new sales process. The best first version is simple: reminder draft, review, send. Do not fully automate tone-sensitive communication until the message pattern has been tested.

4. Avoid for Now

Avoid custom AI agents that make client-facing decisions. The business does not have enough process standardization yet to safely hand judgment to automation.

Avoid rebuilding the full project management system. That would create more disruption than value. Improve the intake and setup path first, then decide whether the core system needs to change.

Avoid automating creative strategy. The client pays for human judgment there. Use AI to prepare context and reduce admin, not to replace the core expertise.

5. Suggested Next Steps

In the first 30 days, map the current onboarding steps and identify every repeated field, folder, message, and document. Build one structured intake form and connect it to project setup.

In days 31-60, add invoice reminders and a weekly status summary draft. Keep a human review step in place.

In days 61-90, review what changed: time saved, missing information reduced, invoice delays reduced, and client response quality. Only then decide whether to expand automation into lead qualification or internal reporting.

The goal is not to make the business look more automated. The goal is to make the parts that already repeat stop consuming strategic time.